arXiv:1604.05186v2 [math.DG] 9 Nov 2016

PRESCRIBING METRICS ON THE BOUNDARY OF ANTI-DE SITTER 3-MANIFOLDS

ANDREA TAMBURELLI

Abstract. We prove that given two metrics g_+ and g_- with curvature $\kappa < -1$ on a closed, oriented surface S of genus $\tau \geq 2$, there exists an AdS_3 manifold N with smooth, space-like, strictly convex boundary such that the induced metrics on the two connected components of ∂N are equal to g_+ and g_-. Using the duality between convex space-like surfaces in AdS_3, we obtain an equivalent result about the prescription of the third fundamental form. This answers partially Question 3.5 in [BBD+12].

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Anti-de Sitter space 4
2. Equivariant isometric embeddings 7
3. Parameterisation of GHMC AdS_3 manifolds 9
4. Topological intersection theory 11
5. Some properties of the maps $\phi^{\pm}$ 14
6. Prescription of an isometric embedding and half-holonomy representation 20
7. Proof of the main result 23
Acknowledgment 26
References 27

Introduction

The 3-dimensional Anti-de Sitter space AdS_3 is the Lorentzian analogue of hyperbolic space, i.e. it is the local model of Lorentzian 3-manifolds with constant sectional curvature -1. An AdS_3 spacetime is an oriented and time-oriented manifold locally modelled on AdS_3. A particular class of Anti-de Sitter 3-manifold, called globally hyperbolic maximal compact (GHMC), has attracted much attention since the pioneering work of Mess ([Mess07]), who pointed out many connections to Teichmüller theory and many similarities to hyperbolic quasi-Fuchsian manifolds.

A GHMC AdS_3 spacetime M is topologically a product $S \times \mathbb{R}$, where S is a closed, oriented surface of genus $\tau \geq 2$, diffeomorphic to a Cauchy surface embedded in M. The holonomy representation of the fundamental group of S into the isometry group

Date: November 10, 2016.

of orientation and time-orientation preserving isometries of AdS_3, which can be identified with $\mathbb{P}SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{P}SL(2,\mathbb{R})$, provides a bijection between the space of GHMC AdS_3 structures on M and the product of two copies of the Teichmüller space of S. Moreover, M contains a convex core $C(M)$, whose boundary (when $C(M)$ is not a totally geodesic 2-manifold) consists of the disjoint union of two hyperbolic surfaces pleated along a geodesic lamination.

As a consequence, it is possible to formulate many classical questions of quasi-Fuchsian manifolds even in this Lorentzian setting. For example one can ask if it is possible to prescribe the induced metrics on the boundary of the convex core and the answer is very similar in both settings, where the existence of a quasi-Fuchsian manifold (as a consequence of results in [EM86] and [Lab92]) and a GHMC AdS_3 manifold ([Dia13]) with a prescribed metric on the boundary of the convex core has been proved, but uniqueness is still unknown.

Another interesting question deals with the prescription of the metrics on the boundary of a larger compact, convex subset K with two smooth, strictly convex, space-like boundary components in a GHMC AdS_3 manifold. By the Gauss formula, the boundaries have curvature $\kappa < -1$. We can ask if it is possible to realize every couple of metrics, satisfying the condition on the curvature, on a surface S via this construction. The analogous question has a positive answer in a hyperbolic setting ([Lab92]), where even a uniqueness result holds ([Sch06]). In this paper, we will follow a construction inspired by the work of Labourie ([Lab92]), in order to obtain a positive answer in the Anti-de Sitter world. The main result of the paper is thus the following:

Corollary 3.3 *For every couple of metrics g_+ and g_- on S with curvature less than -1, there exists a globally hyperbolic convex compact AdS_3 manifold $K \cong S \times [0,1]$, whose induced metrics on the boundary are exactly $g_\pm$.*

Using the duality between space-like surfaces in Anti-de Sitter space, we obtain an analogous result about the prescription of the third fundamental form:

Corollary 3.4 *For every couple of metrics g_+ and g_- on S with curvature less than -1, there exists a globally hyperbolic convex compact AdS_3 manifold $K \cong S \times [0,1]$, such that the third fundamental forms on the boundary components are g_+ and g_-.*

We outline here the main steps of the proof for the convenience of the reader.

The first observation to be done is that Corollary 3.3 is equivalent to proving that there exists a GHMC AdS_3 manifold M containing a future-convex space-like surface isometric to (S, g_-) and a past-convex space-like surface isometric to (S, g_+). Adapting the work of Labourie ([Lab92]) to this Lorentzian setting, we prove that the space of isometric embeddings $I(S, g_\pm)^\pm$ of $(S, g_\pm)$ into a GHMC AdS_3 manifold as a future-convex (or past-convex) space-like surface is a manifold of dimension $6\tau - 6$. On the other hand, by the work of Mess ([Mess07]), the space of GHMC AdS_3 structures is parameterised by two copies of Teichmüller space, hence a manifold of

dimension $12\tau - 12$. This allows us to translate our original question into a question about the existence of an intersection between subsets in $\mathrm{Teich}(S) \times \mathrm{Teich}(S)$. More precisely, we will define in Section 3 two maps

$$\phi^{\pm}_{g_\pm} : I(S, g_\pm)^{\pm} \to \mathrm{Teich}(S) \times \mathrm{Teich}(S)$$

sending an isometric embedding of $(S, g_\pm)$ to the holonomy of the GHMC AdS_3 manifold containing it. Corollary 3.3 is then equivalent to the following:

Theorem 3.2 *For every couple of metrics g_+ and g_- on S with curvature less than -1, we have*

$$\phi^{+}_{g_+}(I(S, g_+)^{+}) \cap \phi^{-}_{g_-}(I(S, g_-)^{-}) \neq \emptyset \ .$$

In order to prove this theorem we will use tools from topological intersection theory, which we recall in Section 4. For instance, Theorem 3.2 is already known to hold under particular hypothesis on the curvatures ([BMS13b]), hence we only need to check that the intersection persists when deforming one of the two metrics on the boundary, as the space of smooth metrics with curvature less than -1 is connected (see e.g. Lemma 2.3 in [LS00]). More precisely, given any smooth paths of metrics $g^{\pm}_t$ with curvature less than -1, we will define the manifolds

$$W^{\pm} = \bigcup_{t\in[0,1]} I(S, g^{\pm}_t)^{\pm}$$

and the maps

$$\Phi^{\pm} : W^{\pm} \to \mathrm{Teich}(S) \times \mathrm{Teich}(S)$$

with the property that the restrictions of $\Phi^{\pm}$ to the two boundary components coincide with $\phi^{\pm}_{g^{\pm}_0}$ and $\phi^{\pm}_{g^{\pm}_1}$. We will then prove the following:

Proposition 5.1 *The maps $\Phi^{\pm}$ are smooth.*

Hence, we will have the necessary regularity to apply tools from intersection theory. In particular, we can talk about transverse maps and under this condition we can define the intersection number (mod 2) of the maps $\phi^{+}_{g_+}$ and $\phi^{-}_{g_-}$ as the cardinality (mod 2), if finite, of $(\phi^{+}_{g_+} \times \phi^{-}_{g_-})^{-1}(\Delta)$, where

$$\phi^{+}_{g_+} \times \phi^{-}_{g_-} : I(S, g_+)^{+} \times I(S, g_-)^{-} \to (\mathrm{Teich}(S))^2 \times (\mathrm{Teich}(S))^2$$

and Δ is the diagonal in $(\mathrm{Teich}(S))^2 \times (\mathrm{Teich}(S))^2$. We will compute explicitly this intersection number (see Section 7) under particular hypothesis on the curvatures of g_+ and g_-: the reason for this being that the transversality condition is in general difficult to check when the metrics do not have constant curvature. It turns out that in that case the intersection number is 1.

We then start to deform one of the two metrics and check that an intersection persists. Here, one has to be careful that, since the maps are defined on non-compact manifolds, the intersection does not escape to infinity. This is probably the main

technical part of the paper and requires results about the convergence of isometric embeddings (Corollary 5.5), estimates in Anti-de Sitter geometry (Lemma 5.12) and results in Teichmüller theory (Lemma 5.11). In particular, applying these tools, we prove

Proposition 5.13 *For every metric g^- and for every smooth path of metrics $\{g^+_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ on S with curvature less than -1, the set $(\Phi^+ \times \phi^-)^{-1}(\Delta)$ is compact*

This guarantees that when deforming one of the two metrics the variation of the intersection locus is always contained in a compact set. The proof of Theorem 3.2 then follows applying standard argument of topological intersection theory.

In Section 6, we study the map

$$p_1 \circ \Phi^+ : W^+ \to \mathrm{Teich}(S) \ ,$$

where $p_1 : \mathrm{Teich}(S) \times \mathrm{Teich}(S) \to \mathrm{Teich}(S)$ is the projection onto the left factor. The main result we obtain is the following:

Proposition 6.1 *Let g be a metric on S with curvature less than -1 and let h be a hyperbolic metric on S. Then there exists a GHMC AdS_3 manifold M with left metric isotopic to h containing a past-convex space-like surface isometric to (S, g).*

This is proved by showing that $p_1 \circ \phi^+_g$ is proper of degree 1 (mod 2). Again, we are able to compute explicitly the degree of the map when g has constant curvature and the general statement then follows since for any couple of metrics g and g' with curvature less than -1, the maps $p_1 \circ \phi_g$ and $p_1 \circ \phi_{g'}$ are connected by a proper cobordism.

Outline of the paper. In Section 1 we give a brief introduction to Anti-de Sitter space. We then describe a parameterisation of the space of GHMC AdS_3 structures in Section 3. In Section 2 we study the space of isometric embeddings. In Section 4 we recall the main tools of topological intersection theory. Section 5 contains the most technical proofs: in particular we prove the smoothness and properness of the maps $\Phi^\pm$ and Proposition 5.13 . Section 6 deals with Proposition 6.1. The main theorem (Theorem 3.2) is proved in Section 7.

1. Anti-de Sitter space

The 3-dimensional Anti-de Sitter space AdS_3 is the Lorentzian analogue of hyperbolic space, i.e. it is the local model of Lorentzian 3-manifolds with constant sectional curvature -1. In this section we describe a geometric model of AdS_3 as interior of a quadric in the real projective space and illustrate some of its features. We then introduce AdS_3 manifolds and the notion of globally hyperbolicity. The main references for this material are [Mess07] and [BBZ11].

Consider in $\mathbb{R}^4$ the bilinear form of signature $(2,2)$

$$\langle x, y\rangle_{2,2} = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 - x_3y_3 - x_4y_4 \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^4 \ .$$

We denote with Q the hyperboloid

$$Q = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid \langle x, x\rangle_{2,2} = -1 \ \} \ .$$

The restriction of the bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{2,2}$ to the tangent spaces of Q induces a Lorentzian metric on Q with constant sectional curvature -1. Geodesics and totally geodesic planes are obtained intersecting Q with planes and hyperplanes of $\mathbb{R}^4$ through the origin. Moreover, the group of orientation and time-orientation isometry of Q is the connected component of $SO(2,2)$ containing the identity.

We define the Anti-de Sitter space AdS_3 as the image of the projection of Q into $\mathbb{RP}^3$. More precisely, if we denote with $\pi : \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{RP}^3$ the canonical projection, we define

$$AdS_3 = \pi(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid \langle x, x\rangle_{2,2} < 0 \ \}) \ .$$

It can be easily verified that $\pi : Q \to AdS_3$ is a double cover, hence we can endow AdS_3 with the unique Lorentzian structure that makes π a local isometry. It then follows by the definition that geodesic and totally geodesic planes of AdS_3 are obtained intersecting AdS_3 with projective lines and planes.

It is then natural to define the boundary at infinity of AdS_3 as

$$\partial_\infty AdS_3 = \pi(\{x \in R^4 \mid \langle x, x\rangle_{2,2} = 0 \ \} \ .$$

It can be easily verified that $\partial_\infty AdS_3$ coincides with the image of the Segre embedding

$$s : \mathbb{RP}^1 \times \mathbb{RP}^1 \to \mathbb{RP}^3 \ ,$$

hence the boundary at infinity of Anti-de Sitter space is a double-ruled quadric homeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^1$. We will talk about left and right ruling in order to distinguish the two rulings. This homeomorphism can be also described geometrically in the following way. Fix a totally geodesic space-like plane P_0 in AdS_3. The boundary at infinity of P_0 is a circle. Let $\xi \in \partial_\infty AdS_3$. There exists a unique line of the left ruling l_ξ and a unique line of the right ruling r_ξ passing through ξ. The identification between $\partial_\infty AdS_3$ and $S^1 \times S^1$ induced by P_0 associates to ξ the intersection points $\pi_l(\xi)$ and $\pi_r(\xi)$ between l_ξ and r_ξ with the boundary at infinity of P_0. These two maps

$$\pi_l : \partial_\infty AdS_3 \to S^1 \qquad \pi_r : \partial_\infty AdS_3 \to S^1$$

are called left and right projections.

The action of an orientation and time-orientation preserving isometry of AdS_3 extends continuously to the boundary at infinity and it is projective on the two rulings, thus giving an identification between $SO_0(2,2)$ and $\mathbb{P}SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{P}SL(2,\mathbb{R})$.

The projective duality between points and planes of $\mathbb{RP}^3$ induces a duality in AdS_3 between points and totally geodesic space-like planes. This duality induces then a duality between smooth, space-like convex surfaces in AdS_3. Namely, let $\tilde{S} \subset AdS_3$ be a convex space-like surface. Denote by $\tilde{S}^*$ the set of points which are duals to the tangent planes of $\tilde{S}$. The relation between $\tilde{S}$ and $\tilde{S}^*$ is summarised in the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1 (see e.g. Section 11 of [BBZ11])**.** *Let $\tilde{S} \subset AdS_3$ be a smooth, space-like surface with curvature $\kappa < -1$. Then*

(i) the dual surface $\tilde{S}^*$ is smooth and locally strictly convex;
(ii) the pull-back of the induced metric on $\tilde{S}^*$ through the duality map is the third fundamental form[1] of $\tilde{S}$;
(iii) if κ is constant, the dual surface $\tilde{S}^*$ has curvature $\kappa^* = -\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}$.

An AdS_3 manifold M is a 3-manifold endowed with a Lorentzian metric locally isometric to AdS_3. We say that M is globally hyperbolic (GH) if it contains an embedded space-like surface (called Cauchy surface) which intersects every time-like line exactly once. M is said to be spacially compact (C) if it admits a compact Cauchy surface. This leads to the following definition:

Definition 1.2. *A globally hyperbolic maximal compact (GHMC) AdS_3 manifold is a globally hyperbolic AdS_3 manifold M containing a compact Cauchy surface with the following property: if $i : M \to M'$ is an isometric embedding of M into another GHC AdS_3 manifold M' sending a Cauchy surface into a Cauchy surface, then i is an isometry.*

If M is a GHMC AdS_3-manifold, then its universal cover can be identified with a subset D of AdS_3 which can be roughly described as follows: the closure of D intersects the boundary at infinity of AdS_3 along a curve ρ and the interior of D is the set of points such that the boundary at infinity of the dual planes are disjoint from ρ. This subset $D = D(\rho)$ is called the domain of dependence of the curve ρ.

Remark 1.3. *The above description can be made more precise, taking into account the causal property of the curve ρ and its regularity (see e.g.* [Mess07]*) but we will not use these notions in the rest of the paper.*

The duality between smooth space-like surfaces in AdS_3 induces a similar duality between smooth space-like surfaces in a GHMC AdS_3 manifold. More precisely, let $S \subset M$ be a smooth, space-like, strictly-convex surface in a GHMC AdS_3 manifold. The lift of S to the universal cover of M can be identified with a surface $\tilde{S}$ in AdS_3, invariant under the action of the fundamental group of S. The dual surface $\tilde{S}^*$ is also invariant, so it corresponds to a surface S^* in M. Clearly, since the fundamental group of S acts by isometries, an analogue of Lemma 1.1 holds.

[1]We recall that the third fundamental form of an embedded surface S with induced metric I and shape operator B is the bilinear form on TS defined by $III(X,Y) = I(BX, BY)$ for every vector field X and Y on S.

2. Equivariant isometric embeddings

Let S be a connected, compact, oriented surface of genus $\tau \geq 2$ and let g be a Riemannian metric on S with curvature less than -1. An isometric equivariant embedding of S into AdS_3 is given by a couple (f, ρ), where $f : \tilde{S} \to AdS_3$ is an isometric embedding of the universal Riemannian cover of S into AdS_3 and ρ is a representation of the fundamental group of S into $\mathbb{P}SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{P}SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$f(\gamma x) = \rho(\gamma) f(x) \quad \forall\ \gamma \in \pi_1(S) \quad \forall\ x \in \tilde{S}\ .$$

The group $\mathbb{P}SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{P}SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ acts on a couple (f, ρ) by post-composition on the embedding and by conjugation on the representation. We denote by $I(S, g)$ the set of isometric embeddings of S into AdS_3 modulo the action of $\mathbb{P}SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{P}SL(2,\mathbb{R})$. Also in an Anti-de Sitter setting, an analogue of the Fundamental Theorem for surfaces in the Euclidean space holds:

Theorem 2.1. *There exists an isometric embedding of (S, g) into an AdS_3 manifold if and only if it is possible to define a g-self-adjoint operator $b : TS \to TS$ satisfying*

$$\det(b) = -\kappa - 1 \qquad \textit{Gauss equation}$$
$$d^{\nabla} b = 0 \qquad \textit{Codazzi equation}$$

Moreover, the operator b determines the isometric embedding uniquely, up to global isometries.

This theorem enables us to identify $I(S, g)$ with the space of solutions of the Gauss-Codazzi equations, which can be studied using the classical techniques of elliptic operators.

Lemma 2.2. *The space $I(S, g)$ is a manifold of dimension $6\tau - 6$.*

Proof. We can mimic the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [Lab92]. Consider the sub-bundle $\mathcal{F}^g \subset \mathrm{Sym}(TS)$ over S of symmetric operators $b : TS \to TS$ satisfying the Gauss equation. We prove that the operator

$$d^{\nabla} : \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{F}^g) \to \Gamma^{\infty}(\Lambda^2 TS \otimes TS)$$

is elliptic of index $6\tau - 6$, equal to the dimension of the kernel of its linearization. Let J_0 be the complex structure induced by g. For every $b \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{F}^g)$, the operator

$$J = \frac{J_0 b}{\sqrt{\det(b)}}$$

defines a complex structure on S. In particular we have an isomorphism

$$F : \Gamma^{\infty}(\mathcal{F}^g) \to \mathcal{A}$$
$$b \mapsto \frac{J_0 b}{\sqrt{\det(b)}}$$

between smooth sections of the sub-bundle $\Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{F}^g)$ and the space $\mathcal{A}$ of complex structures on S, with inverse

$$F^{-1} : \mathcal{A} \to \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{F}^g)$$
$$J \mapsto -\sqrt{-\kappa - 1} J_0 J \ .$$

This allows us to identify the tangent space of $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}^g)$ at b with the tangent space of $\mathcal{A}$ at J, which is the vector space of operators $\dot{J} : TS \to TS$ such that $\dot{J}J + J\dot{J} = 0$. Under this identification the linearization of d^∇ is given by

$$L(\dot{J}) = -J_0(d^\nabla \dot{J}) \ .$$

We deduce that L has the same symbol and the same index of the operator $\overline{\partial}$, sending quadratic differentials to vector fields. Thus L is elliptic with index $6\tau - 6$.
To conclude we need to show that its cokernel is empty, or, equivalently, that its adjoint L^* is injective. If we identify $\Lambda^2 TS \otimes TS$ with TS using the metric g, the adjoint operator L^* is given by (see Lemma 3.1 in [Lab92] for the computation)

$$(L^*\psi)(u) = -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{J_0 u}\psi + J\nabla_{J_0 J u}\psi) \ .$$

The kernel of L^* consists of all the vector fields ψ on S such that for every vector field u

$$J\nabla_u \psi = -\nabla_{J_0 J J_0 u}\psi \ .$$

We can interpret this equation in terms of intersection of pseudo-holomorphic curves: the Levi-Civita connection ∇ induces a decomposition of $T(TS)$ into a vertical V and a horizontal H sub-bundle. We endow V with the complex structure J, and H with the complex structure $-J_0 J J_0$. In this way, the manifold TS is endowed with an almost-complex structure and the graph of ψ is a pseudo-holomorphic curve. Since pseudo-holomorphic curves have positive intersections, if the graph of ψ did not coincide with the graph of the null section, their intersection would be positive. On the other hand, it is well-known that this intersection coincides with the Euler characteristic of S, which is negative. Hence, we conclude that ψ is identically zero and that L^* is injective. □

Similarly, we obtain the following result:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\{g_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ be a differentiable curve of metrics with curvature less than -1. The set*

$$W = \bigcup_{t\in[0,1]} I(S, g_t)$$

is a manifold with boundary of dimension $6\tau - 5$.

Proof. Again we can mimic the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [Lab92]. Consider the sub-bundle $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{Sym}(TS)$ over $S \times [0,1]$ of symmetric operators, whose fiber over a point (x,t) consists of the operators $b : TS \to TS$, satisfying the Gauss equation with respect to the metric g_t. The same reasoning as for the previous lemma shows that

$$d^\nabla : \Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{F}) \to \Gamma^\infty(\Lambda^2 TS \otimes TS)$$

is Fredholm of index $6\tau - 5$. Since $W = (d^{\nabla})^{-1}(0)$, the result follows from the implicit function theorem for Fredholm operators. □

Let N be a GHMC AdS_3 manifold endowed with a time orientation, i.e. a nowhere vanishing time-like vector field. Let S be a convex embedded surface in N. We say that S is past-convex (resp. future-convex), if its past (resp. future) is geodesically convex. We will use the convention to compute the shape operator of S using the future-directed normal. With this choice if S is past-convex (resp. future-convex) then it has strictly positive (resp. strictly negative) principal curvatures.

Definition 2.4. *We will denote with $I(S,g)^+$ and $I(S,g)^-$ the spaces of equivariant isometric embeddings of S as a past-convex and future-convex surface, respectively.*

3. Parameterisation of GHMC AdS_3 manifolds

In his pioneering work ([Mess07]), Mess studied the geometry of GHMC AdS_3 manifolds, discovering many connections with Teichmüller theory. We recall here some of his results, which we are going to use further.

Let N be a GHMC AdS_3 spacetime, i.e. N is endowed with an orientation and a time-orientation. It contains a space-like Cauchy surface, which is a closed surface S of genus $\tau \geq 2$. It follows that N is diffeomorphic to the product $S \times \mathbb{R}$. It contains a convex core, i.e. a minimal convex subset homotopy equivalent to N, which can be either a totally geodesic surface or a topological submanifold homeomorphic to $S \times [0,1]$, whose boundary components are space-like surfaces, naturally endowed with a hyperbolic metric, pleated along measured geodesic laminations.

The holonomy representation ρ of the fundamental group $\pi_1(N) \cong \pi_1(S)$ into the group $\mathbb{P}SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{P}SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ of orientation and time-orientation preserving isometries of AdS_3 induced by the AdS_3-structure can be split, by projecting to each factor, into two representations

$$\rho_l = p_1 \circ \rho : \pi_1(S) \to \mathbb{P}SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \quad \rho_r = p_2 \circ \rho : \pi_1(S) \to \mathbb{P}SL(2,\mathbb{R})$$

called left and right representations. Mess proved that these have Euler class $|e(\rho_l)| = |e(\rho_r)| = 2g - 2$ and, using Goldman's criterion ([Gol88]), he concluded that they are discrete and faithful representations, and thus their classes represent elements of Teichmüller space. Moreover, every couple of points in Teichmüller space can be realised uniquely in this way, thus giving a parameterisation of the set of GHMC AdS_3-structures on $S \times \mathbb{R}$ up to isotopy by the product of two copies of the Teichmüller space of S (Prop. 19 and Prop. 20 in [Mess07]). We say that a GHMC AdS_3 manifold N is Fuchsian if its left and right representations represent the same class in Teichmüller space. This happens if and only if the convex core of N is a totally geodesic surface.

Moreover, the left and right hyperbolic metrics corresponding to the left and right representations can be constructed explicitly starting from space-like surfaces embedded in N. Mess gave a description in a non-smooth setting using the upper and lower boundary of the convex core of N as space-like surfaces. More precisely, if $m^{\pm}$

are the hyperbolic metrics on the upper and lower boundary of the convex core and $\lambda^{\pm}$ are the measured geodesic lamination along which they are pleated, the left and right metrics h_l and h_r are related to $m^{\pm}$ by an earthquake along $\lambda^{\pm}$:

$$h_l = E_l^{\lambda^+}(m_+) = E_r^{\lambda^-}(m_-) \qquad h_r = E_r^{\lambda^+}(m_+) = E_l^{\lambda^-}(m_-) \ .$$

Later, this description was extended ([KS07]), thus obtaining explicit formulas for the left and right metric, in terms of the induced metric I, the complex structure J and the shape operator B of any strictly negatively curved smooth space-like surface S embedded in N. The construction goes as follows. We fix a totally geodesic space-like plane P_0. Let $\tilde{S} \subset AdS_3$ be the universal cover of S. Let $\tilde{S}' \subset U^1AdS_3$ be its lift into the unit tangent bundle of AdS_3 and let $p : \tilde{S}' \to \tilde{S}$ be the canonical projection. For any point $(x, v) \in \tilde{S}'$, there exists a unique space-like plane P in AdS_3 orthogonal to v and containing x. We define two natural maps $\Pi_{\infty,l}$ and $\Pi_{\infty,r}$ from $\partial_\infty P$ to $\partial_\infty P_0$, sending a point $x \in \partial_\infty P$ to the intersection between $\partial_\infty P_0$ and the unique line of the left or right foliation of $\partial_\infty AdS_3$ containing x. Since these maps are projective, they extend to hyperbolic isometries $\Pi_l, \Pi_r : P \to P_0$. Identifying P with the tangent space of $\tilde{S}$ at the point x, the pull-backs of the hyperbolic metric on P_0 by Π_l and by Π_r define two hyperbolic metrics on $\tilde{S}$

$$h_l = I((E + JB)\cdot, (E + JB)\cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad h_r = I((E - JB)\cdot, (E - JB)\cdot) \ .$$

The isotopy classes of the corresponding metrics on S do not depend on the choice of the space-like surface S and their holonomies are precisely ρ_l and ρ_r, respectively (Lemma 3.16 in [KS07]).

This parameterisation enables us to formulate our original question about the prescription of the metrics on the boundary of a compact AdS_3 manifold in terms of existence of an intersection of particular subsets of $\mathrm{Teich}(S) \times \mathrm{Teich}(S)$.

Definition 3.1. *Let g be a metric on S with curvature $\kappa < -1$. We define the maps*

$$\phi_g^{\pm} : I(S, g)^{\pm} \to \mathrm{Teich}(S) \times \mathrm{Teich}(S)$$
$$b \mapsto (h_l(g, b), h_r(g, b)) := (g((E + Jb)\cdot, (E + Jb)\cdot), g((E - Jb)\cdot, (E - Jb)\cdot))$$

associating to every isometric embedding of (S, g) the left and right metric of the GHMC AdS_3 manifold containing it.

We recall that we use the convention to compute the shape operator using always the future-oriented normal. In this way, the above formulas hold for both future-convex and past-convex surfaces, without changing the orientation of the surface S.

We will prove (in Section 7) the following fact, which is the main theorem of the paper:

Theorem 3.2. *For every couple of metrics g_+ and g_- on S with curvature less than -1, we have*

$$\phi_{g_+}^+(I(S, g_+)^+) \cap \phi_{g_-}^-(I(S, g_-)^-) \neq \emptyset \ .$$

Therefore, there exists a GHMC AdS_3 manifold containing a past-convex space-like surface isometric to (S, g_+) and a future-convex space-like surface isometric to (S, g_-).

Corollary 3.3. *For every couple of metrics g_+ and g_- on S with curvature less than -1, there exists a globally hyperbolic convex compact AdS_3 manifold $K \cong S \times [0,1]$, whose induced metrics on the boundary are exactly $g_\pm$.*

If we apply the previous corollary to the dual surfaces (introduced in Section 1), we obtain an analogue result about the prescription of the third fundamental form:

Corollary 3.4. *For every couple of metrics g_+ and g_- on S with curvature less than -1, there exists a compact AdS_3 manifold $K \cong S \times [0,1]$, whose induced third fundamental forms on the boundary are exactly $g_\pm$.*

4. Topological intersection theory

As outlined in the Introduction, the main tool used in the proof of the main theorem is the intersection theory of smooth maps between manifolds, which is developed for example in [GP74]. We recall here the basic constructions and the fundamental results.

If not otherwise stated, all manifolds considered in this section are non-compact without boundary.

Let X and Z be manifolds of dimension m and n, respectively and let A be a closed submanifold of Z of codimension k. Suppose that $m - k \geq 0$. We say that a smooth map $f : X \to Z$ is transverse to A if for every $z \in \mathrm{Im}(f) \cap A$ and for every $x \in f^{-1}(z)$ we have

$$df(T_x X) + T_z A = T_z Z \ .$$

Under this hypothesis, $f^{-1}(A)$ is a submanifold of X of codimension k.
When $k = m$ and $f^{-1}(A)$ consists of a finite number of points we define the intersection number between f and A as

$$\Im(f, A) := |f^{-1}(A)| \qquad (\mathrm{mod}\ 2) \ .$$

Remark 4.1. *When A is a point $p \in Z$, f is transverse to p if and only if p is a regular value for f. Moreover, if f is proper, $f^{-1}(p)$ consists of a finite number of points and the above definition coincides with the classical definition of degree (mod 2) of a smooth and proper map.*

We say that two smooth maps $f : X \to Z$ and $g : Y \to Z$ are transverse if the map

$$f \times g : X \times Y \to Z \times Z$$

is transverse to the diagonal $\Delta \subset Z \times Z$. Notice that if $\mathrm{Im}(f) \cap \mathrm{Im}(g) = \emptyset$, then f and g are transverse by definition.
Suppose now that $2 \dim X = 2 \dim Y = \dim Z$. Moreover, suppose that the maps

$f : X \to Z$ and $g : Y \to Z$ are transverse and the preimage $(f \times g)^{-1}(\Delta)$ consists of a finite number of points. We define the intersection number between f and g as

$$\Im(f, g) := \Im(f \times g, \Delta) = |(f \times g)^{-1}(\Delta)| \qquad (\text{mod } 2) \ .$$

It follows by the definition that if $\Im(f, g) \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Im}(f) \cap \mathrm{Im}(g) \neq \emptyset$.

One important feature of the intersection number that we will use further is the invariance under cobordism. We say that two maps $f_0 : X_0 \to Z$ and $f_1 : X_1 \to Z$ are cobordant if there exists a manifold W and a smooth function $F : W \to Z$ such that $\partial W = X_0 \cup X_1$ and $F_{|_{X_i}} = f_i$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let W be a non-compact manifold with boundary $\partial W = X_0 \cup X_1$. Let $H : W \to Z$ be a smooth map and denote by h_i the restriction of H to the boundary component X_i for $i = 0, 1$. Let $A \subset Z$ be a closed submanifold. Suppose that*

(i) $\mathrm{codim} A = \dim X_i$*;*
(ii) H is transverse to A;
(iii) $H^{-1}(A)$ is compact.

Then $\Im(h_0, A) = \Im(h_1, A)$.

Proof. By hypothesis the pre-image $H^{-1}(A)$ is a compact, properly embedded 1-manifold, i.e. it is a finite disjoint union of circles and arcs with ending points on a boundary component of W. This implies that $h_0^{-1}(A)$ and $h_1^{-1}(A)$ have the same parity. □

In particular, we deduce the following result about the intersection number of two maps:

Corollary 4.3. *Let W be a non-compact manifold with boundary $\partial W = X_0 \cup X_1$. Let $F : W \to Z$ be a smooth map and denote by f_i the restriction of F to the boundary component X_i for $i = 0, 1$. Let $g : Y \to Z$ be a smooth map. Suppose that*

(i) $2 \dim X_i = 2 \dim Y = \dim Z$*;*
(ii) F and g are transverse;
(iii) $(F \times g)^{-1}(\Delta)$ is compact.

Then $\Im(f_0, g) = \Im(f_1, g)$.

Proof. Apply the previous proposition to the map $H = F \times g : W \times Y \to Z \times Z$ and to the submanifold $A = \Delta$, the diagonal of $Z \times Z$. □

The hypothesis of transversality in the previous propositions is not restrictive, as it is always possible to perturb the maps involved on a neighbourhood of the set on which transversality fails:

Theorem 4.4 (Theorem p.72 in [GP74])**.** *Let $h : W \to Z$ be a smooth map between manifolds, where only W has boundary. Let A be a closed submanifold of Z. Suppose that h is transverse to A on a closed set $C \subset W$. Then there exists a smooth map*

$\tilde{h} : W \to Z$ homotopic to h such that $\tilde{h}$ is transverse to A and $\tilde{h}$ agrees with h on a neighbourhood of C.

Now the question arises whether the intersection number depends on the particular perturbation of the map that we obtain when applying Theorem 4.4.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $h : X \to Z$ be a smooth map between manifolds. Let A be a submanifold of Z, whose codimension equals the dimension of X. Suppose that $h^{-1}(A)$ is compact. Let $\tilde{h}$ and $\tilde{h}'$ be perturbations of h, which are transverse to A and coincide with h outside the interior part of a compact set B containing $h^{-1}(A)$. Then*

$$\Im(\tilde{h}, A) = \Im(\tilde{h}', A) \ .$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{H} : W = X \times [0,1] \to Y$ be an homotopy between $\tilde{h}$ and $\tilde{h}'$ such that for every $x \in (X \backslash B) \times [0,1]$ we have $\tilde{H}(x,t) = h(x)$. Notice that $\tilde{H}^{-1}(A)$ is compact. Up to applying Theorem 4.4 to the closed set $C = (X \setminus B) \times [0,1] \cup \partial W$, we can suppose that $\tilde{H}$ is transverse to A. By Proposition 4.2, we have that $\Im(\tilde{h}_0, A) = \Im(\tilde{h}_1, A)$ as claimed. □

Moreover, in particular circumstances, we can actually obtain a $1-1$ correspondence between the points of $h_0^{-1}(A)$ and $h_1^{-1}(A)$. The following proposition will not be used for the proof of the main result of the paper, but it might be a useful tool to prove the uniqueness part of the question addressed in this paper, as explained in Remark 7.3.

Proposition 4.6. *Under the same hypothesis as Proposition 4.2, suppose that the cobordism (W, H) between h_0 and h_1 satisfies the following additional properties:*

(i) W fibers over the interval $[0,1]$ with fiber X_t;
(ii) the restriction h_t of H at each fiber is tranverse to A .

Then $|h_0^{-1}(A)| = |h_1^{-1}(A)|$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that in $H^{-1}(A)$ there are no arcs with ending points in the same boundary component. By contradiction, let γ be an arc with ending point in X_0. Define

$$t_0 = \sup\{t \in [0,1] \mid \gamma \cap X_t \neq \emptyset\} \ .$$

A tangent vector $\dot{\gamma}$ at a point $p \in X_{t_0} \cap \gamma$ is in the kernel of the map

$$d_p H : T_{(p,t_0)} W \to T_q(Z \times Z)/T_q(A) \ ,$$

where $q = H(p)$. The contradiction follows by noticing that on the one hand $\dot{\gamma}$ is contained in the tangent space $T_p X_{t_0}$ by construction but on the other hand $d_p h_{t_0} : T_p X_{t_0} \to T_q(Z \times Z)/T_q(A)$ is an isomorphism by transversality.
A similar reasoning works when γ has ending points in X_1. □

5. Some properties of the maps $\phi^{\pm}$

This section contains the most technical part of the paper. We summarise here briefly, for the convenience of the reader, what the main results of this section are.

For every metric g on S with curvature less than -1 we have defined in Section 3 the maps $\phi^{\pm}_g$ which associate to every isometric embedding of (S, g) into a GHMC AdS_3 manifold M the class in Teichmüller space of the left and right metrics of M. It follows easily from Lemma 2.3 that for any couple of metrics g and g' with curvature less than -1 the maps $\phi^{\pm}_g$ and $\phi^{\pm}_{g'}$ are cobordant through a map $\Phi^{\pm}$. In this section we will define the maps $\Phi^{\pm}$ and will study some of its properties, which will enable us to apply the topological intersection theory described in the previous section. More precisely, the first step will consist of proving that the all the maps involved are smooth. This is the content of Proposition 5.1 and the proof will rely on the fact that the holonomy representation of a hyperbolic metric depends smoothly on the metric. Then we will deal with the properness of the maps $\Phi^{\pm}$ (Corollary 5.8) that will follow from a compacteness result of isometric embeddings (Corollary 5.5). This will allow us also to have a control on the space where two maps ϕ_g and $\phi_{g'}$ intersect: when we deform one of the two metrics the intersection remains contained in a compact set (Proposition 5.13).

Recall that given a smooth path of metrics $\{g_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ on S with curvature less than -1, the set

$$W^{\pm} = \bigcup_{t\in[0,1]} I^{\pm}(S, g_t)$$

is a manifold with boundary $\partial W^{\pm} = I(S, g_0)^{\pm} \cup I(S, g_1)^{\pm}$ of dimension $6\tau - 5$ (Lemma 2.3). We define the maps

$$\Phi^{\pm} : W^{\pm} \to \mathrm{Teich}(S) \times \mathrm{Teich}(S)$$
$$b_t \mapsto (h_l(g_t, b_t), h_r(g_t, b_t)) := (g_t((E + Jb_t)\cdot, (E + Jb_t)\cdot), g_t((E - Jb_t)\cdot, (E - Jb_t)\cdot))$$

associating to an equivariant isometric embedding (identified with its Codazzi operator b_t) of (S, g_t) into AdS_3 the class in Teichmüller space of the left and right metrics of the GHMC AdS_3 manifold containing it. We remark that the restrictions of $\Phi^{\pm}$ to the boundary coincide with the maps $\phi^{\pm}_{g_0}$ and $\phi^{\pm}_{g_1}$ defined in Section 3.

We deal first with the regularity of the maps.

Proposition 5.1. *The functions $\Phi^{\pm} : W^{\pm} \to \mathrm{Teich}(S) \times \mathrm{Teich}(S)$ are smooth.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}_S$ be the set of hyperbolic metrics on S. We can factorise the map $\Phi^{\pm}$ as follows:

$$W^{\pm} \xrightarrow{\Phi'^{\pm}} \mathcal{M}_S \times \mathcal{M}_S \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Teich}(S) \times \mathrm{Teich}(S)$$

where $\Phi'^{\pm}$ associates to an isometric embedding of (S, g_t) (determined by an operator b_t satisfying the Gauss-Codazzi equation) the couple of hyperbolic metrics $(g_t((E + J_t b_t)\cdot, (E + J_t b_t)\cdot), g_t((E - J_t b_t)\cdot, (E - J_t b_t)\cdot))$, and π is the projection to the

corresponding isotopy class, or, equivalently, the map which associates to a hyperbolic metric its holonomy representation. Since the maps $\Phi'^{\pm}$ are clearly smooth by definition, we just need to prove that the holonomy representation depends smoothly on the metric. Let h be a hyperbolic metric on S. Fix a point $p \in S$ and a unitary frame $\{v_1, v_2\}$ of the tangent space T_pS. We consider the ball model for the hyperbolic plane and we fix a unitary frame $\{w_1, w_2\}$ of $T_0\mathbb{H}^2$. We can realise every element of the fundamental group of S as a closed path passing through p. Let γ be a path passing through p and let $\{U_i\}_{i=0,\dots n}$ be a finite covering of γ such that every U_i is homeomorphic to a ball. We know that there exists a unique map $f_0 : U_0 \to B_0 \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ such that

$$\begin{cases} f_0(p) = 0 \\ d_p f_0(v_i) = w_i \\ f_0^* g_{\mathbb{H}^2} = h \ . \end{cases}$$

Then, for every $i \geq 1$ there exists a unique isometry $f_i : U_i \to B_i \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ which coincides with f_{i-1} on the intersection $U_i \cap U_{i-1}$. Let $q = f_n(p) \in \mathbb{H}^2$. The holonomy representation sends the homotopy class of the path γ to the isometry $I_q : \mathbb{H}^2 \to \mathbb{H}^2$ such that $I_q(q) = 0$. Moreover, its differential maps the frame $\{u_i = df_n(v_i)\}$ to the frame w_i. The isometry I_q depends smoothly on q and on the frame u_i, which depend smoothly on the metric because each f_i does. □

The next step is about the properness of the maps $\Phi^{\pm}$. This will involve the study of sequences of isometric embeddings of a disc into a simply-connected spacetime, which have been extensively and profitably analysed in [Sch96]. In particular, the author proved that, under reasonable hypothesis, a sequence of isometric embeddings of a disc into a simply-connected spacetime has only two possible behaviours: it converges C^∞, up to subsequences, to an isometric embedding, or it is degenerate in a precise sense:

Theorem 5.2 (Theorem 5.6 in [Sch96])**.** *Let $\tilde{f}_n : D \to X$ be a sequence of uniformly elliptic [1] immersions of a disc D in a simply connected Lorentzian spacetime $(X, \tilde{g})$. Assume that the metrics $\tilde{f}_n^* \tilde{g}$ converge C^∞ towards a Riemannian metric $\tilde{g}_\infty$ on D and that there exists a point $x \in D$ such that the sequence of the 1-jets $j^1 \tilde{f}_n(x)$ converges. If the sequence $\tilde{f}_n$ does not converge in the C^∞ topology in a neighbourhood of x, then there exists a maximal geodesic γ of $(D, \tilde{g}_\infty)$ and a geodesic arc Γ of $(X, \tilde{g})$ such that the sequence $(\tilde{f}_n)_{|\gamma}$ converges towards an isometry $\tilde{f}_\infty : \gamma \to \Gamma$.*

We start with a straightforward application of the Maximum Principle, which we recall here in the form useful for our purposes (see e.g. Prop. 4.6 in [BBZ11]).

Proposition 5.3 (Maximum Principle)**.** *Let Σ_1 and Σ_2 two future-convex space-like surfaces embedded in a GHMC AdS_3 manifold M. If they intersect in a point x and*

[1] We recall that a sequence of isometric immersions is said to be uniformly elliptic if the corresponding shape operators have uniformly positive determinant.

Σ_1 is in the future of Σ_2 then the product of the principal curvatures of Σ_2 is larger than the product of the principal curvatures of Σ_1.

Proposition 5.4. *Let Σ be a future-convex space-like surface embedded into a GHMC AdS_3 manifold M. Suppose that the Gaussian curvature of Σ is bounded between $-\infty < \kappa_{min} \leq \kappa_{max} < -1$. Denote with S_{min} and S_{max} the unique future-convex space-like surfaces with constant curvature κ_{min} and κ_{max} embedded in M (Corollary 4.7 in* [BBZ11]*). Then Σ is in the past of S_{max} and in the future of S_{min}.*

Proof. Consider the unique (Corollary 4.7 in [BBZ11]) κ-time

$$T : I^-(\partial_- C(M)) \to (-\infty, -1) \ ,$$

i.e. the unique function defined on the past of the convex core of M such that the level sets $T^{-1}(\kappa)$ are future-convex space-like surfaces of constant curvature κ. The restriction of T to Σ has a maximum t_{max} and a minimum t_{min}. Consider the level sets $L_{min} = T^{-1}(t_{min})$ and $L_{max} = T^{-1}(t_{max})$. By construction Σ is in the future of L_{min} and they intersect in a point x, hence, by the Maximum Principle and the Gauss equation, we obtain the following inequality for the Gaussian curvature of Σ at the point x:

$$t_{min} \geq \kappa(x) \geq \kappa_{min} \ .$$

Similarly we obtain that $t_{max} \leq \kappa(y) \leq \kappa_{max}$, where y is the point of intersection between L_{max} and Σ. But this implies that Σ is in the past of the level set $T^{-1}(\kappa_{max})$ and in the future of the level set $T^{-1}(\kappa_{min})$, which correspond respectively to the surfaces S_{max} and S_{min} by uniqueness. □

Corollary 5.5. *Let g_n be a compact family of metrics in the C^∞ topology with curvatures $\kappa < -1$ on a surface S. Let $f_n : (S, g_n) \to M_n = (S \times \mathbb{R}, h_n)$ be a sequence of isometric embeddings of (S, g_n) as future-convex space-like surfaces into GHMC AdS_3 manifolds. If the sequence h_n converges to an AdS metric h_∞ in the C^∞-topology, then f_n converges C^∞, up to subsequences, to an isometric embedding into $M_\infty = (S \times \mathbb{R}, h_\infty)$.*

Proof. Consider the equivariant isometric embeddings $\tilde{f}_n : (\tilde{S}, \tilde{g}_n) \to \tilde{AdS_3}$ obtained by lifting f_n to the universal cover. We denote with $\tilde{S}_n$ the images of the disc $\tilde{S}$ under the map $\tilde{f}_n$ and let $\tilde{h}_n$ be the lift of the Lorentzian metrics h_n on $\tilde{AdS_3}$. By hypothesis $\tilde{f}_n^* \tilde{h}_n = \tilde{g}_n$ admits a subsequence converging to $\tilde{g}_\infty$.

Fix a point $x \in \tilde{S}$. Since the isometry group of $\tilde{AdS_3}$ acts transitively on points and frames, we can suppose that $\tilde{f}_n(x) = y \in \tilde{AdS_3}$ and $j^1 \tilde{f}_n(x) = z$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Moreover, the condition on the curvature of the metrics g_n guarantees that the sequence $\tilde{f}_n$ is uniformly elliptic.
Therefore, we are under the hypothesis of Theorem 5.2.

The previous proposition allows us to determine precisely in which region of M_n each surface $f_n(S)$ lies. Since the family of metrics g_n is compact, the curvatures κ_n of the surfaces $f_n(S)$ in M_n are uniformly bounded $\kappa^{min} \leq \kappa_n \leq \kappa^{max} \leq -1 - 3\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. By the previous proposition each surface $f_n(S)$ is in the past of Σ_n^{max} and in the future of Σ_n^{min}, where Σ_n^{min} and Σ_n^{max} are the unique future-convex

space-like surfaces of M_n with constant curvature κ^{min} and κ^{max}. Let Σ_ϵ be the unique future-convex space-like surface in M_∞ with constant curvature $-1-2\epsilon$. We think of Σ as a fixed surface embedded in $S\times\mathbb{R}$ and we change the Lorentzian metric of the ambient space. Since h_n converges to h_∞, the metrics induced on Σ_ϵ by h_n converge to the metric induced on Σ_ϵ by h_∞. In particular, for n sufficiently large the curvature of Σ_ϵ as surface embedded in $M_n = (S\times\mathbb{R}, h_n)$ is bounded between $-1-3\epsilon$ and $-1-\epsilon$. Therefore, Σ_ϵ is convex in M_n and by the previous proposition Σ_ϵ is in the future of Σ_n^{max} for every n sufficiently big. This implies that each surface $f_n(S)$ is in the past of the surface Σ_ϵ.

We can now conclude that the sequence f_n must converge to an isometric embedding. Suppose by contradiction that the sequence $\tilde{f_n}$ is not convergent in the C^∞ topology in a neighbourhood of x, then there exists a maximal geodesic $\tilde{\gamma}$ of $(\tilde{S}, \tilde{g}_\infty)$ and a geodesic segment $\tilde{\Gamma}$ in $\tilde{AdS_3}$ such that $(\tilde{f_n})_{|\tilde{\gamma}}$ converges to an isometry $\tilde{f}_\infty : \tilde{\gamma}\to\tilde{\Gamma}$. This implies that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ has infinite length. The projection of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ must be contained in the past of Σ_ϵ, because each $f_n(S)$ is contained there for n sufficiently large. But the past of Σ_ϵ is disjoint from the convex core of M_∞ and this contradicts the following lemma. □

Lemma 5.6. *In a GHMC AdS_3-manifold every complete space-like geodesic is contained in the convex core.*

Proof. Let c be a complete space-like geodesic in a GHMC AdS_3 manifold M. By a result of Mess ([Mess07]), we can realise M as the quotient of the domain of dependence $D(\rho)\subset AdS_3$ of a curve ρ on the boundary at infinity by the action of the fundamental group of S. The lift $\bar{c}$ of c has ending points on the curve ρ, hence $\bar{c}$ is contained in the convex hull of ρ into AdS_3 and its projection is contained in the convex core of M. □

Remark 5.7. *Clearly, the same result holds for equivariant isometric embeddings of past-convex space-like surfaces, as it is sufficient to reverse the time-orientation.*

Corollary 5.8. *The functions $\Phi^\pm : W^\pm \to \mathrm{Teich}(S)\times\mathrm{Teich}(S)$ are proper.*

Proof. We prove the claim for the function Φ^-, the other case being analogous. Let $(h_l(g_{t_n}, b_{t_n}), h_r(g_{t_n}, b_{t_n}))\in\mathrm{Teich}(S)\times\mathrm{Teich}(S)$ be a convergent sequence in the image of the map Φ^-. This means that the sequence of GHMC AdS_3 manifolds M_n parametrised by $(h_l(g_{t_n}, b_{t_n}), h_r(g_{t_n}, b_{t_n}))$ is convergent. By definition of the map Φ^-, each M_n contains an embedded future-convex, space-like surface isometric to (S, g_{t_n}), whose immersion f_n into M_n is represented by the Codazzi operator b_{t_n}. By Corollary 5.5, the sequence of isometric immersions f_n is convergent up to subsequences, thus Φ^- is proper. □

This allows us to show that for every metric g_- and for every smooth path of metrics $\{g_t^+\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ on S with curvature $\kappa<-1$ the intersection between $\Phi^+(W^+)$ and $\phi^-_{g_-}(I(S,g_-)^-)$ is compact. This will follow combining some technical results about the geometry of AdS_3 manifolds and length-spectrum comparisons.

Definition 5.9. *Let g be a metric with negative curvature on S. We define the length function*

$$\ell_g : \pi_1(S) \to \mathbb{R}^+$$

which associates to every homotopy non-trivial loop on S, the length of its g-geodesic representative.

We recall that when g is a hyperbolic metric, Thurston proved (see e.g. [FLP79]) that the length function can be extended uniquely to a function on the space of measured geodesic laminations on S, which we still denote with ℓ_g.

We will need the following technical results:

Lemma 5.10 (Lemma 9.6 in [BMS13b])**.** *Let N be a globally hyperbolic compact AdS_3-manifold foliated by future-convex space-like surfaces. Then, the sequence of metrics induced on each surface decreases when moving towards the past. In particular, if Σ_1 and Σ_2 are two future-convex space-like surfaces with Σ_1 in the future of Σ_2, then for every closed geodesic γ in Σ_1 we have*

$$\ell_{g_2}(\gamma') \leq \ell_{g_1}(\gamma) \ ,$$

where γ' is the closed geodesic on Σ_2 homotopic to γ and g_1 and g_2 are the induced metric on Σ_1 and Σ_2, respectively.

Lemma 5.11. *Let g_n be a compact family of smooth metrics on S with curvature less than -1. Let m_n be a family of hyperbolic metrics such that*

$$\ell_{g_n}(\gamma) \leq \ell_{m_n}(\gamma)$$

for every $\gamma \in \pi_1(S)$. Then m_n lies in a compact subset of the Teichmüller space of S.

Proof. The idea is to use Thurston asymmetric metric on Teichmüller space. To this aim, we will deduce from the hypothesis a comparison between the length spectrum of m_n and that of the hyperbolic metrics h_n in the conformal class of g_n.

Let $\kappa < -1$ be the infimum of the curvatures of the family g_n. Since g_n is a compact family, $\kappa > -\infty$. Let $\bar{g}_n = -\frac{1}{\kappa} h_n$ be the metrics of constant curvature κ in the conformal class of g_n. We claim that

$$\ell_{h_n}(\gamma) \leq \sqrt{|\kappa|}\ell_{m_n}(\gamma)$$

for every $\gamma \in \pi_1(S)$. For instance, if we write $\bar{g}_n = e^{2u_n} g_n$, the smooth function $u_n : S \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the differential equation

$$e^{2u_n(x)}\kappa = \kappa_{g_n}(x) + \Delta_{g_n} u_n(x) \ ,$$

where κ_{g_n} is the curvature of g_n. Since $\kappa_{g_n} \geq \kappa$, $\Delta_{g_n} u_n$ is positive at the point of maximum of u_n and $\kappa < -1$, we deduce that $e^{2u_n} \leq 1$, hence

$$\ell_{\bar{g}_n}(\gamma) \leq \ell_{g_n}(\gamma)$$

for every $\gamma \in \pi_1(S)$. It is then clear that

$$\ell_{\bar{g}_n}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \ell_{h_n}(\gamma)$$

for every $\gamma \in \pi_1(S)$ and the claim follows.

Moreover, by the inequality

$$\ell_{h_n}(\gamma) \leq \sqrt{|\kappa|} \ell_{g_n}(\gamma) \quad \forall\, \gamma \in \pi_1(S)$$

we deduce that h_n is contained in a compact set of Teichmüller space: if that were not the case, there would exists a curve γ such that $\ell_{h_n}(\gamma) \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} +\infty$, which is impossible because g_n is a compact family.

We can conclude now using Thurston asymmetric metric: given two hyperbolic metrics h and h', Thurston asymmetric distance between h and h' is defined as

$$d_{Th}(h, h') = \sup_{\gamma \in \pi_1(S)} \log \left(\frac{\ell_h(\gamma)}{\ell_{h'}(\gamma)} \right) .$$

It is well-known ([Th]) that if h'_n is a divergent sequence than $d_{Th}(K, h_n) \to +\infty$, where K is any compact set in Teichmüller space. Now, by the length spectrum comparison

$$\ell_{h_n}(\gamma) \leq \sqrt{|\kappa|} \ell_{m_n}(\gamma) \quad \forall\, \gamma \in \pi_1(S) \ ,$$

we deduce that $d_{Th}(h_n, m_n) \leq \log(\sqrt{|\kappa|}) < +\infty$, hence m_n must be contained in a compact set. □

We will need also the following fact about the geometry of the convex core of a GHMC AdS_3 manifold.

Lemma 5.12 (Prop. 5 in [Dia13])**.** *Let M be a GHMC AdS_3 manifold. Denote by m^+ and m^- the hyperbolic metrics on the upper and lower boundary of the convex core of M. Let λ^+ and λ^- be the measured geodesic laminations on the upper and lower boundary of the convex core of M. For all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $A > 0$ such that, if m^+ is contained in a compact set and $\ell_{m^+}(\lambda^+) \geq A$, then $\ell_{m^-}(\lambda^+) \leq \epsilon \ell_{m^+}(\lambda^+)$.*

Proposition 5.13. *For every metric g^- and for every smooth path of metrics $\{g^+_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ on S with curvature $\kappa < -1$, the set $(\Phi^+ \times \phi^-_{g^-})^{-1}(\Delta)$ is compact.*

Proof. We need to prove that every sequence of isometric embeddings $(b^+_{t_n}, b^-_n)$ in $(\Phi^+ \times \phi^-_{g^-})^{-1}(\Delta)$ admits a convergent subsequence. By definition, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a GHMC AdS_3 manifold M_n containing a past-convex surface isometric to $(S, g^+_{t_n})$ with shape operator $b^+_{t_n}$ and a future-convex surface isometric to (S, g^-) with shape operator b^-_n. By Lemma 5.10 and Lemma 5.11, the metrics m^+_n and m^-_n on the upper and lower boundary of the convex core of M_n are contained in a compact set of Teich(S) .

We are going to prove now that the sequences of left and right metrics of M_n are contained in a compact set of Teichmüller space, as well. Suppose by contradiction that the sequence of left metric h_{l_n} of M_n is not contained in a compact set. By Mess parameterisation (see Section 3, or [Mess07]), the left metrics are related to the

metrics m_n^+ and to the measured geodesic laminations λ_n^+ of the upper-boundary of the convex core by an earthquake:

$$h_{l_n} = E^l_{\lambda_n^+}(m_n^+) \ .$$

Since h_{l_n} is divergent, the sequence of measured laminations λ_n^+ is divergent, as well. In particular, this implies that $\ell_{m_n^+}(\lambda_n^+)$ goes to infinity. Therefore, by Lemma 5.12, for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists n_0 such that the inequality $\ell_{m_n^-}(\lambda_n^+) \leq \epsilon \ell_{m_n^+}(\lambda_n^+)$ holds for $n \geq n_0$. From this we deduce a contradiction, because we prove that the inequality

$$\ell_{m_n^-}(\lambda_n^+) \leq \epsilon \ell_{m_n^+}(\lambda_n^+) \qquad \forall \ n \geq n_0$$

implies that the sequence m_n^- is divergent, which contradicts what we proved in the previous paragraph. For instance, if m_n^- were contained in a compact set of Teichmüller space, there would exist (using again Thurston's asymmetric metric) a constant $C > 1$ such that

$$\frac{\ell_{m_n^+}(\gamma)}{\ell_{m_n^-}(\gamma)} \leq C \quad \forall \ n \geq n_0 \ .$$

By density this inequality must hold also for every measured geodesic lamination on S. But we have seen that for every $\epsilon > 0$ we can find n_0 such that for every $n \geq n_0$ we have

$$\frac{\ell_{m_n^+}(\lambda_n^+)}{\ell_{m_n^-}(\lambda_n^+)} \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \ ,$$

thus obtaining a contradiction.
A similar argument proves that also the sequence of right metrics h_{r_n} must be contained in a compact set of Teich(S).

Since the sequences of left and right metrics of M_n converge, up to subsequence, we can concretely realise the corresponding subsequence M_n as $(S \times \mathbb{R}, h_n)$ such that h_n converges in the C^∞-topology to an Anti-de Sitter metric h_∞ and each M_n contains a future-convex space-like surface with embedding data (g^-, b_n^-) and a past-convex space-like surface with embedding data $(g_{t_n}^+, b_{t_n}^+)$. The proof is then completed applying Corollary 5.5. □

6. Prescription of an isometric embedding and half-holonomy representation

This section is dedicated to the proof of the following result about the existence of an AdS_3 manifold with prescribed left metric containing a convex space-like surface with prescribed induced metric:

Proposition 6.1. *Let g be a metric on S with curvature less than -1 and let h be a hyperbolic metric on S. There exists a GHMC AdS_3 manifold M with left metric isotopic to h containing a past-convex space-like surface isometric to (S, g).*

If we denote with

$$p_1 : \text{Teich}(S) \times \text{Teich}(S) \to \text{Teich}(S)$$

the projection onto the left factor, Propostition 6.1 is equivalent to proving that the map $p_1 \circ \phi_g^+ : I(S,g)^+ \to \mathrm{Teich}(S)$ is surjective. After showing that $p_1 \circ \phi_g^+$ is proper (Corollary 6.4), this will follow from the fact that its degree (mod 2) is non-zero.

In order to prove properness of the map $p_1 \circ \phi_g^+$, we will need the following well-known result about the behaviour of the length function while performing an earthquake.

Lemma 6.2 (Lemma 7.1 in [BS09])**.** *Given a geodesic lamination $\lambda \in \mathcal{ML}(S)$ and a hyperbolic metric $g \in \mathrm{Teich}(S)$, let $g' = E_l^\lambda(g)$. Then for every closed geodesic γ in S the following estimate holds*

$$\ell_g(\gamma) + \ell_{g'}(\gamma) \geq \lambda(\gamma) \ .$$

Proposition 6.3. *For every path of metrics $\{g_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ with curvature less than -1, the projection $p_1 : \Phi^+(W^+) \to \mathrm{Teich}(S)$ is proper.*

Proof. Let $h_l(g_{t_n}, b_{t_n})$ be a convergent sequence of left metrics. We need to prove that the corresponding sequence of right metrics $h_r(g_{t_n}, b_{t_n})$ is convergent, as well. By hypothesis, (S, g_{t_n}) is isometrically embedded as past-convex space-like surface in each GHMC AdS_3 manifold M_n parametrised by $(h_l(g_{t_n}, b_{t_n}), h_r(g_{t_n}, b_{t_n}))$. By Lemma 5.10 and Lemma 5.11, the metrics m_n^+ on the past-convex boundary of the convex core of M_n are contained in a compact set of $\mathrm{Teich}(S)$. Moreover, by a result of Mess ([Mess07]), the left metrics $h_l(g_{t_n}, b_{t_n})$, the metrics m_n^+ and the measured laminations on the convex core λ_n^+ are related by an earthquake

$$h_l(g_{t_n}, b_{t_n}) = E_l^{\lambda_n^+}(m_n^+) \ .$$

Since $h_l(g_{t_n}, b_{t_n})$ is convergent, by Lemma 6.2, the sequence of measured laminations λ_n^+ must be contained in a compact set. Therefore, by continuity of the right earthquake

$$E_r : \mathrm{Teich}(S) \times \mathcal{ML}(S) \to \mathrm{Teich}(S)$$
$$(h, \lambda) \mapsto E_r^\lambda(h)$$

the sequence

$$h_r(g_{t_n}, b_{t_n}) = E_r^{\lambda_n^+}(m_n^+)$$

is convergent, up to subsequences. □

In particular, considering a constant path of metrics, we obtain the following:

Corollary 6.4. *The projection $p_1 : \phi_g^+(I(S,g)^+) \to \mathrm{Teich}(S)$ is proper .*

Proposition 6.5. *For every metric g of curvature $\kappa < -1$, the map*

$$p_1 \circ \phi_g^+ : I(S,g)^+ \to \mathrm{Teich}(S)$$

is proper of degree 1 mod 2.

Proof. Consider a path of metrics $(g_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ with curvature less than -1 connecting $g = g_0$ with a metric of constant curvature g_1. By Corollary 5.8 and Corollary 6.4, the maps $p_1 \circ \phi^+_{g_0} : I(S, g_0)^+ \to \text{Teich}(S)$ and $p_1 \circ \phi^+_{g_1} : I(S, g_1)^+ \to \text{Teich}(S)$ are proper and cobordant, hence they have the same degree (mod 2). (This follows from Remark 4.1, Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 6.3). Thus, we can suppose that g has constant curvature $\kappa < -1$.

We notice that there exists a unique element in $I(S, g)^+$ such that $h_l(g, b) = -\kappa g$: a direct computation shows that $b = \sqrt{-\kappa - 1}E$ works and uniqueness follows by the theory of landslides developed in [BMS13a]. We sketch here the argument and we invite the interested reader to consult the aforementioned paper for more details. Pick $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ such that $\kappa = -\frac{1}{\cos^2(\theta/2)}$. The landslide

$$\begin{aligned} L^1_{e^{i\theta}} : \text{Teich}(S) \times \text{Teich}(S) &\to \text{Teich}(S) \\ (h, h^*) &\mapsto h' \end{aligned}$$

associates to a couple of hyperbolic metrics (h, h^*), the left metric of a GHMC AdS_3 manifold containing a space-like embedded surface with induced first fundamental form $I = \cos^2(\theta/2)h$ and third fundamental form $III = \sin^2(\theta/2)h^*$. It has been proved (Theorem 1.14 in [BMS13a]) that for every $(h, h') \in \text{Teich}(S) \times \text{Teich}(S)$, there exists a unique h^* such that $L^1_{e^{i\theta}}(h, h^*) = h'$. Moreover, the shape operator b of the embedded surface can be recovered by the formula (Lemma 1.9 in [BMS13a])

$$b = \tan(\theta/2)B$$

where $B : TS \to TS$ is the unique h-self-adjoint operator such that $h^* = h(B\cdot, B\cdot)$. Therefore, if we choose $h = h' = -\kappa g$, the uniqueness of the operator b follows by the uniqueness of h^* and B.

Hence, the degree (mod 2) of the map is 1, provided $-\kappa g$ is a regular value. Let $\dot{b} \in T_b I(S, g)^+$ be a non-trivial tangent vector. We remark that, since elements of $I(S, g)^+$ are g-self-adjoint, Codazzi tensor of determinant $-1 - \kappa$, the tangent space $T_b I(S, g)^+$ can be identified with the space of traceless, Codazzi, g-self-adjoint tensors. We are going to prove that the deformation induced on the left metric is non-trivial, as well. Let b_t be a path in $I(S, g)^+$ such that $b_0 = b = \sqrt{-\kappa - 1}E$ and $\frac{d}{dt}b_t = \dot{b}$ at $t = 0$. The complex structures induced on S by the metrics $h_l(g, b_t)$ are

$$J_t = (E + Jb_t)^{-1} J (E + Jb_t)$$

where J is the complex structure induced by g. Taking the derivative of this expression at $t = 0$ we get

$$\dot{J} = \frac{2}{\kappa}[E - \sqrt{-\kappa - 1}J]\dot{b}$$

which is non-trivial in $T_{-\kappa g}\text{Teich}(S)$ because, as explained in Theorem 1.2 of [FT87], the space of traceless and Codazzi operators in $T_J\mathcal{A}$ has trivial intersection with the kernel of the differential of the projection $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \text{Teich}(S)$, which sends a complex structure J to its isotopy class. □

In particular, for every smooth metric g on S with curvature less than -1, the map $p_1 \circ \phi_g^+ : I(S,g)^+ \to \mathrm{Teich}(S)$ is surjective (a proper, non-surjective map has vanishing degree (mod 2)) and we deduce Proposition 6.1.

7. Proof of the main result

We have now all the ingredients to prove Theorem 3.2. As outlined in the Introduction, the first step consists of verifying that in one particular case, i.e. when we choose the metrics $g'_+ = -\frac{1}{\kappa}h$ and $g'_- = -\frac{1}{\kappa^*}h$, where h is any hyperbolic metric and $\kappa^* = -\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1} = \kappa = -2$, the maps $\phi^+_{g'_+}$ and $\phi^-_{g'_-}$ have a unique transverse intersection.

It is a standard computation to verify that $b^+ = E$ and $b^- = -E$ are Codazzi operators corresponding to an isometric embedding of (S, g'_+) as a past-convex space-like surface and to an isometric embedding of (S, g'_-) as a future-convex space-like surface respectively into the GHMC AdS_3 manifold M parametrised by $(h,h) \in \mathrm{Teich}(S) \times \mathrm{Teich}(S)$. This manifold M is unique due to the following:

Theorem 7.1 (Theorem 1.15 in [BMS13b]). *Let h_+ and h'_- be hyperbolic metrics and let κ_+ and κ_- be real numbers less than -1. There exists a GHMC AdS_3 manifold M which contains an embedded future-convex space-like surface with induced metric $\frac{1}{|\kappa_-|}h_-$ and an embedded past-convex space-like surface with induced metric $\frac{1}{|\kappa_+|}h_+$. Moreover, if $\kappa_+ = -\frac{\kappa_-}{\kappa_-+1}$, then M is unique.*

We notice that M is Fuchsian, i.e. it is parametrised by a couple of isotopic metrics in Teichmüller space. A priori, there might be other isometric embeddings of (S, g'_+) as a past-convex space-like surface and of (S, g'_-) as a future-convex space-like surface into M not equivalent to the ones found before. Actually, this is not the case due to the following result about isometric embeddings of convex surfaces into Fuchsian Lorentzian manifolds:

Theorem 7.2 (Theorem 1.1 in [LS00]). *Let (S,g) be a Riemannian surface of genus $\tau \geq 2$ with curvature strictly smaller than -1. Let $x_0 \in \tilde{AdS}_3$ be a fixed point. There exists an equivariant isometric embedding (f,ρ) of (S,g) into $\tilde{AdS}_3$ such that ρ is a representation of the fundamental group of S into the group $\mathrm{Isom}(\tilde{AdS}_3, x_0)$ of isometries of $\tilde{AdS}_3$ fixing x_0. Such an embedding is unique modulo $\mathrm{Isom}(\tilde{AdS}_3, x_0)$.*

As a consequence, if we denote with Δ the diagonal of $\mathrm{Teich}(S)^2 \times \mathrm{Teich}(S)^2$, we have proved that

$$(\phi^+_{g'_+} \times \phi^-_{g'_-})^{-1}(\Delta) = (E, -E) \in I(S, g'_+)^+ \times I(S, g'_-)^- \ .$$

We need to verify next that at this point the intersection

$$\phi^+_{g'_+}\left(I(S, g'_+)^+\right) \cap \phi^-_{g'_-}\left(I(S, g'_-)^-\right)$$

is transverse. Suppose by contradiction that the intersection is not transverse, then there exists a non-trivial tangent vector $\dot{b}^+ \in T_E I(S, g'_+)^+$ and a non-trivial tangent

vector $\dot{b}^- \in T_{-E}I(S, g'_-)^-$ such that

$$d\phi^+_{g'_+}(\dot{b}^+) = d\phi^-_{g'_-}(\dot{b}^-) \in T_h\text{Teich}(S) \times T_h\text{Teich}(S) \ .$$

We recall that elements of $T_E I(S, g'_+)^+$ can be represented by traceless, g'_+-self-adjoint, Codazzi operators. With this in mind, let us compute explicitly $d\phi^+_{g'_+}(\dot{b}^+)$. Let b^+_t be a smooth path in $I(S, g'_+)^+$ such that $b^+_0 = E$ and $\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} b^+_t = \dot{b}^+ \neq 0$. The complex structures induced on S by the left metrics $h_l(b_t)$ are

$$J^+_l = (E + Jb^+_t)^{-1} J (E + Jb^+_t) \ ,$$

where J is the complex structure of (S, g'_+). We compute now the derivative of this expression at $t = 0$. First notice that, since the operators b_t are g'_+-self-adjoint, Jb^+_t is traceless, hence the Hamilton-Cayley equation reduces to $(Jb^+_t)^2 + \det(Jb^+_t)E = (Jb^+_t)^2 + E = 0$. We deduce that

$$(E + Jb^+_t)(E - Jb^+_t) = 2E \ .$$

Therefore, the variation of the complex structures induced by the left metrics is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{J}^+_l &= \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} J^+_l = \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \frac{1}{2}(E - Jb^+_t) J (E + Jb^+_t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-J\dot{b}^+) J (E + J) + \frac{1}{2}(E - J) J^2 \dot{b}^+ \\ &= -(E - J)\dot{b}^+ \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last passage we used the fact that, since $\dot{b}^+$ is traceless and symmetric, the relation $J\dot{b}^+ = -\dot{b}^+ J$ holds.
With a similar procedure we compute the variation of the complex structures of the right metrics and we obtain

$$\dot{J}^+_r = (E + J)\dot{b}^+ \in T_J\mathcal{A} \ .$$

Noticing that $\dot{J}^+_l$ and $\dot{J}^+_r$ are both traceless Codazzi operators, the image of $\dot{b}^+$ under the differential $d\phi^+_{g'_+}$ is simply

$$d\phi^+_{g'_+}(\dot{b}^+) = (-(E - J)\dot{b}^+, (E + J)\dot{b}^+) \in T_h\text{Teich}(S) \times T_h\text{Teich}(S)$$

because, as explained in Theorem 1.2 of [FT87], the space of traceless and Codazzi operators in $T_J\mathcal{A}$ is in direct sum with the kernel of the differential of the projection $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \text{Teich}(S)$, which sends a complex structure J to its isotopy class and gives an isomorphism between the space of traceless, Codazzi, self-adjoint tensors and $T_h\text{Teich}(S)$.
With a similar reasoning we obtain that

$$d\phi^-_{g'_-}(\dot{b}^-) = (-(E + J)\dot{b}^-, (E - J)\dot{b}^-) \in T_h\text{Teich}(S) \times T_h\text{Teich}(S) \ .$$

By imposing that $d\phi^+_{g'_+}(\dot{b}^+) = d\phi^-_{g'_-}(\dot{b}^-)$ we obtain the linear system

$$\begin{cases} (-E+J)\dot{b}^+ = -(E+J)\dot{b}^- \\ (E+J)\dot{b}^+ = (E-J)\dot{b}^- \end{cases}$$

which has solutions if and only if $\dot{b}^+ = \dot{b}^- = 0$. Therefore, the intersection is transverse and we can finally state that

$$\Im(\phi^+_{g'_+}, \phi^-_{g'_-}) = 1 \ .$$

Now we use the theory described in Section 4 to prove that an intersection persists under a deformation of one metric that fixes the other. Let g_+ and g_- be two arbitrary metrics on S with curvature less than -1. We will still denote with g'_+ and with g'_- the metrics introduced in the previous paragraph with self-dual constant curvature and in the same conformal class. Consider two paths of metrics $\{g^t_+\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ and $\{g^t_-\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ with curvature less than -1 such that $g^0_+ = g_+$, $g^1_+ = g'_+$, $g^0_- = g_-$ and $g^1_- = g'_-$. We will first prove that

$$\phi^+_{g_+}(I(S,g_+)^+) \cap \phi^-_{g'_-}(I(S,g'_-)^-) \neq \emptyset \ .$$

Suppose by contradiction that this intersection is empty. Then the map $\phi^+_{g_+} \times \phi^-_{g'_-}$ is trivially transverse to Δ. Consider the manifold

$$W^+ = \bigcup_{t\in[0,1]} I(S,g^+_t)^+$$

and the map

$$\Phi^+ \times \phi^-_{g'_-} : X = W^+ \times I(S,g'_-)^- \to (\mathrm{Teich}(S))^4 = Y$$

as defined in Section 5. By assumption the restriction of $\Phi^+ \times \phi^-_{g'_-}$ to the boundary is transverse to Δ and by Proposition 5.13, the set $D = (\Phi^+ \times \phi^-_{g'_-})^{-1}(\Delta)$ is compact. Let B be the interior of a compact set containing D and let $C = (X \setminus B) \cup \partial X$. By construction, $\Phi^+ \times \phi^-_{g_-}$ is transverse to Δ along the closed set C. Applying Theorem 4.4, there exists a smooth map $\Psi : X \to Y$ which is transverse to Δ and which coincides with $\Phi^+ \times \phi^-_{g'_-}$ on C. In particular, the value on the boundary remains unchanged and $\Psi^{-1}(\Delta)$ is still a compact set. By Proposition 4.3, the intersection number of the maps

$$\phi^+_{g'_+} : I(S,g'_+)^+ \to \mathrm{Teich}(S) \times \mathrm{Teich}(S) \ \text{ and } \ \phi^+_{g_+} : I(S,g_+)^+ \to \mathrm{Teich}(S) \times \mathrm{Teich}(S)$$

with the map

$$\phi^-_{g'_-} : I(S,g_-)^- \to \mathrm{Teich}(S) \times \mathrm{Teich}(S) \ ,$$

as defined in Section 4, must be the same. This gives a contradiction, because

$$0 = \Im(\phi^+_{g_+}, \phi^-_{g'_-}) \neq \Im(\phi^+_{g'_+}, \phi^-_{g'_-}) = 1 \ .$$

So we have proved that $\phi^+_{g_+}(I(S,g_+)^+) \cap \phi^-_{g'_-}(I(S,g'_-)^-) \neq \emptyset$, but we do not know if the intersection is transverse. Repeating the above argument choosing the closed set $C = (X \setminus B) \cup I(S,g'_+)^+$, we obtain that a perturbation ψ of $\phi^+_{g_+} \times \phi^-_{g'_-}$ which is transverse to Δ and coincides with $\phi^+_{g_+} \times \phi^-_{g'_-}$ outside the interior of a compact set containing $(\phi^+_{g_+} \times \phi^-_{g'_-})^{-1}(\Delta)$ has intersection number $\Im(\psi, \Delta) = 1$. By Proposition 4.5, every perturbation of the map $\phi^+_{g_+} \times \phi^-_{g'_-}$ obtained in this way has intersection number with Δ equal to 1.

This enables us to deform the metric g_- without losing the intersection, by repeating a similar argument. Suppose by contradiction that

$$\phi^+_{g_+}(I(S,g_+)^+) \cap \phi^-_{g_-}(I(S,g_-)^-) = \emptyset \ .$$

Consider the manifold

$$W^- = \bigcup_{t\in[0,1]} I(S,g^-_t)^-$$

and the map

$$\Phi^- \times \phi^+_{g_+} : X = W^- \times I(S,g_+)^+ \to (\mathrm{Teich}(S))^4 = Y \ .$$

By assumption the restriction of the map $\Phi^- \times \phi^+_{g_+}$ to the first boundary component $X_0 = I(S,g_+)^+ \times I(S,g_-)^-$ is transverse to Δ and by Proposition 5.13, the preimage $D = (\Phi^- \times \phi^+_{g_+})^{-1}(\Delta)$ is a compact set. Let B be the interior of a compact set containing D and let $C = (X \setminus B) \cup X_0$. By construction, $\Phi^- \times \phi^+_{g_+}$ is transverse to Δ along the closed set C. Applying Theorem 4.4, there exists a smooth map $\Psi : X \to Y$ which is transverse to Δ and which coincides with $\Phi^- \times \phi^+_{g_+}$ on C. In particular, the value on the boundary X_0 remains unchanged and $\Psi^{-1}(\Delta)$ is still a compact set. Moreover, the value of Ψ on the other boundary component is a perturbation of $\phi^+_{g_+} \times \phi^-_{g'_-}$ which is transverse to Δ and coincides with $\phi^+_{g_+} \times \phi^-_{g'_-}$ outside the interior of a compact set containing $(\phi^+_{g_+} \times \phi^-_{g'_-})^{-1}(\Delta)$. Hence, by Proposition 4.5 and by Proposition 4.3, the intersection number $\Im(\phi^+_{g_+}, \phi^-_{g_-})$ must be equal to 1, thus giving a contradiction.

Remark 7.3. *It might be possible to prove the uniqueness of this intersection by applying Proposition 4.6. To this aim, it would be necessary to show that for every couple of metrics g_+ and g_- with curvature strictly smaller than -1, the functions $\phi^+_{g_+} : I(S,g_+)^+ \to \mathrm{Teich}(S) \times \mathrm{Teich}(S)$ and $\phi^-_{g_-} : I(S,g_-)^- \to \mathrm{Teich}(S) \times \mathrm{Teich}(S)$ are transverse.*

Acknowledgment

This is a part of a PhD project I have been doing under the supervision of Prof. Jean-Marc Schlenker. I would like to thank him for his patient guidance and invaluable support throughout this work. I am also grateful to Nicolas Tholozan and Daniel Monclair for our fruitful conversations about the subject.

References

[BBD+12] T. Barbot, F. Bonsante, J. Danciger, W. M. Goldman, F. Guéritaud, F. Kassel, K. Krasnov, J.-M. Schlenker, A. Zeghib: *Some questions on anti-de Sitter geometry*, arXiv:1205.6103.

[BBZ07] T. Barbot, F. Béguin, A. Zeghib: *Constant mean curvature foliations of globally hyperbolic spacetimes locally modelled on AdS_3*, Geom. Dedicata 126, p. 71-129, 2007.

[BBZ11] T. Barbot, F. Béguin, A. Zeghib: *Prescribing Gauss curvature of surfaces in 3-dimensional spacetimes. Application to the Minkowski problem in the Minkowsky space*, Annales de l'Insitut Fourier, 61, no. 2, p. 511-591, 2011.

[BMS13a] F. Bonsante, G. Mondello, J.-M. Schlenker: *A cyclic extension of the earthquake flow I*, Geometry and Topology 17, p. 157-234, 2013.

[BMS13b] F. Bonsante, G. Mondello, J.-M. Schlenker: *A cyclic extension of the earthquake flow II*, Annales Scientifiques de l'ENS, 48, p. 811-859, 2015.

[BS09] F. Bonsante, J.-M. Schlenker: *AdS manifolds with particles and earthquakes on singular surfaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 19:1, p. 41-82, 2009.

[Dia13] B. Diallo: *Prescribing metrics on the boundary of convex cores of globally hyperbolic maximal compact ADS 3-manifolds*, arXiv:1303.7406.

[EM86] D.B.A. Epstein, A. Marden: *Convex hulls in hyperbolic spaces, a theorem of Sullivan, and measured pleated surfaces*, Analytical and geometric aspects of hyperbolic space, volume 111 of L.M.S Lecture Notes Series, 1986.

[FLP79] A. Fathi, F. Laudenbach, V. Poenaru: *Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces*, Astérisque 66-67, Société Mathématique de France, 1979.

[FT87] A.E. Fischer, A.J. Tromba: *A new proof that Teichmüller space is a cell*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 303, no. 1, p. 257-262, 1987.

[Ger83] C. Gerhardt: *H-surfaces in Lorentzian manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys., 89(4), p. 523-553, 1983.

[Gol88] W. Goldman: *Topological components of spaces of representations*, Invent. Math., 93(3), p. 557-607, 1988.

[GP74] V. Guillemin, A. Pollack: *Differential Topology*, Prentice-Hall, 1974.

[KS07] K. Krasnov, J.-M. Schlenker: *Minimal surfaces and particles in 3-manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata 126, p. 187-254, 2007.

[Lab92] F. Labourie: *Métriques prescrites sur le bord des variété hyperboliques de dimension 3*, J. Differential Geom., 35(3), p. 609-626, 1992.

[LS00] F. Labourie, J.-M. Schlenker: *Surfaces convexes fuchsiennes dans les espaces lorentziens à courbure constante*, Math. Ann. 316, p. 465-483, 2000.

[Mess07] G.Mess: *Lorentz spacetimes of constant curvature*, Geom. Dedicata 126, p. 3-45, 2007.

[Sch96] J.-M. Schlenker: *Surfaces convexes dans des espaces lorentziens à courbure constante*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 4, no. 1-2, p 285-331, 1996.

[Sch06] J.-M. Schlenker: *Hyperbolic manifolds with convex boundary*, Invent. math. 163, p. 109-169, 2006.

[Th] W.P. Thurston: *Minimal stretch maps between hyperbolic surfaces*, unpublished manuscript.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG
E-mail address: andrea.tamburelli@uni.lu